Case 1:07-cv-09008-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
MICHELLE KING	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,		
	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT	
- agamst -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOTICE (	OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\checkmark$ ' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		
Plaintiffs, MICHELLE KING, by his/her/their NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), r		
I. PAR	RTIES	
A. PLAIN	NTIFF(S)	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

a citizen of New York residing at 1142 East 103rd Street, Brooklyn, NY 11236-.

2.

Alternatively,  $\square$ 

(OR)

\_\_\_\_, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff, MICHELLE KING (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and

is the \_\_\_\_\_ of Decedent

Ca	se 1:07-cv-09008-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11
	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times l	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff
		Other:
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 12/1/2 tment (NYPD) as a Detective at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
j	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (a From on or al	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)bout _9/11/2001_ until _9/22/2001_;	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
	ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for ly <u>10</u> days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, nutil, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about _10/1/2001_ until 12/1/2001; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for Approximately _30_ days total.		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue t	1 1	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	$\blacksquare$ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
<u> </u>	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C. ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
<u>Y</u> ork on 9/14/07	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	MEW TORK, INC.  ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☑EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: \_

# 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
□ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-09008-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or);   Federal Officers:  Contested, b	Jurisd	
remo	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.		
	III CAUSES		
of lial	` '		d defendants based upon the following theories is such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined.
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

#### Case 1:07-cv-09008-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 9/11/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/14/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 9/11/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	<b>\</b>	Other Injury: Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 9/11/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zaro Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable

damages:	
<b>▽</b>	Pain and suffering
<b>√</b>	Loss of the enjoyment of life
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
<b>✓</b>	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$ 

Dated: New York, New York September 28, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Michelle King

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK MICHELLE KING, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: **☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY** that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on \_\_\_\_\_20\_\_\_ ☐ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP